

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB  Commentaires (justification) par le MB	Proposed change by the MB  Changement proposé par le MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted  Note du secrétaire sur chaque commentaire soumis
M C		1.2	TE	What is not in alignment with NATICK was at the very specific request of PWGSC. Before we spend time changing the values this needs to be cleared with PWGSC otherwise we are wasting our time. The prime user of that standard is PWGSC and if they end up specifying their own values this exercise will have been a huge waste of time.	Check with PWGSC before proceeding. I tried contacting them but did not get a reply so far.	
M C		2.1.2	TE	Change X5.1-2002 this version is obsolete	Change to 2011 version	
M C		2.1.4	TE	There is a new 2011 version for that standard	Adopt new version	
M C		3.1	TE	Why do we still carry the Imperial units? Canada has turned to Metric Ages ago.	Drop Imperial references	
M C		6.4	TE	The foam issue has been debated for a very long time and it was a very contentious issue 2 revisions ago already. I don't see that the market changed enough to justify a change.	Keep table 1 as it is and perhaps add a category for memory foam and a few others? Recycled materials should perform as well as new.	
M C		6.11	TE	The previous requirement was awkward to evaluate. The proposed one is very subjective; what is the rounded front edge has a 10 mm radius? It is still rounded? If we can't put down a number maybe it is not important enough?	Delete requirement all together	
M C		7.4.1	TE	Is the intent to include side chairs in the standard?	If yes make sure can/CGSB 44.232 is compatible with GPD-6; this will require quite a few adjustments	

1 **MB** = Member Initials/ Initiales du membre

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial / Type de commentaire: **ge** = général **te** = technique **ed**: éditorial

**NOTE :** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory/ colonnes 1, 2, 4, 5 sont obligatoires

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB Commentaires (justification) par le MB	Proposed change by the MB Changement proposé par le MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted Note du secrétaire sur chaque commentaire soumis
M C		7.9	TE	There is nothing wrong with a chair that can go lower than 90 degrees even though there is no real use for that adjustment	Change wording for: “Fixed backrest to seat angle: the included angle shall be between 90 and 103 degrees. For chairs with an adjustable backrest-to-seat angle, the range of adjustability shall be a minimum of 1 degrees within 90 and 113 degrees.	
M C		7.10.2		The wording used in the standard precludes chairs with self counterbalancing mechanisms to be qualified and I believe it is a miss	Modify wording to address self counterbalancing mechanisms	
M C		7.11.7		This measurement can be highly subjective especially if the arm cap has a very irregular shape. Is there a real value for having these arms? Are we sure the angles are the right ones? There is no such requirement in G-1	Remove requirement from standard	
M C		7.12, 7.13		The standard needs to allow for self counterbalancing mechanisms	Create a category for self counterbalancing mechanisms and add related requirements	
M C		11.1		CSA Z-412 references CAN/CGSB 44.232; in excel that would be considered a circular reference and we would get an error message	Do not reference CSA –Z412 as this does not provide additional value to the end user and he might end up buying a \$500.00+ standard for no reason	

1 **MB** = Member Initials/ Initiales du membre

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial / Type de commentaire: **ge** = général **te** = technique **ed**: éditorial

**NOTE :** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory/ colonnes 1, 2, 4, 5 sont obligatoires